

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/244,291	LEWALLEN, STEPHEN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andy Ho	2194	

All Participants:

(1) Andy Ho.

(2) Paul E. Kudirka, Reg. No. 26,931.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 30 May 2006
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

21

Prior art documents discussed:


N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The claims are allowable over the cited references. The examiner suggested the applicant to correct 101 issue in claim 21. The applicant agreed with the suggestion and authorized the examiner to make the changes in an examiner's amendment

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


WILLIAM THOMSON
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)